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Executive summary

Our commitment to quality defines the EPO's products and services. But sustaining excellence in the long term is a journey. At the EPO that journey is inspired by our users and begins with our dedicated workforce of top scientific experts.

Career-long learning and a dynamic knowledge transfer network keep our staff up to date with the very latest legal and technical developments. Collaboration is not only enshrined in the EPO's three-person examining divisions, it is actively promoted at all levels of the organisation. In 2019 a promising Collaborative Quality Initiative pilot resulted in a quality increase in 28% of files and was developed into a SP2023 project at the end of the year.

But people are only part of the equation. Their ability to provide applicants with high legal certainty depends on their access to premium resources. The EPO has the world's largest prior art databases with over 1.5 billion technical records. In 2019 we continued to upgrade our increasingly AI-driven tools that help examiners find the most relevant prior art more effectively. Our ANSERA search tool, for instance, was enhanced with access to non-patent literature, resulting in a 20% increase in search report citations made using this tool.

2019 also saw major achievements in classification. Accurate, timely classification is the cornerstone of a high-quality patent granting process. We invested heavily in bringing our classification backlogs down and are now in a state where we are able to classify documents as they are coming in. This marks a first milestone in our classification activities under SP2023.

Timeliness is another inherent aspect of quality that saw major improvements in 2019. The time to grant for EP direct and PCT applications where the EPO was the International Search Authority fell by 4 months and 4.8 months respectively.

On top of these achievements, 2019 was also a year of transition. In June the EPO launched its first Strategic Plan (SP2023). The plan's third goal focuses entirely on delivering higher quality services and products more efficiently. A new SP2023 programme will address all aspects of quality from incoming applications and the patent grant process to opposition and publication.

Going forward, the EPO will also engage our users in an ongoing dialogue on quality. At our 2019 SACEPO Working Party on Quality meetings we began to forge a common understanding of patent quality and gather input to shape our user satisfaction surveys. Working closely with our member states and non-European national patent offices as well as our IP5 partners and international organisations on quality also remains a top priority.

All these initiatives reflect the EPO's enduring pledge to provide the very highest levels of quality. As we move forward with SP2023, our annual Quality Report will update you on our progress towards excellence.
1. Delivering high-quality products and services efficiently

1.1 EPO staff: engaged, expert and collaborative

Quality at the EPO begins with our highly skilled and motivated staff. In 2019, the EPO employed 4 240 patent examiners, supported by 629 formalities officers, together accounting for over 73% of our total workforce. Entry requirements are high.

Prospective examiners must hold a master's or a higher-level degree in a scientific field and possess solid linguistic skills in at least one of our three official languages. However, new examiners are offered intensive language training to improve their language skills after joining the EPO if required. The EPO is an attractive employer and as a result, we can be highly selective. In 2019 we received nearly 11 000 job applications, leading to the recruitment of 82 new examiners and 42 non-examiner staff.

New examiners are on-boarded with a training programme run by the EPO Academy spread over a two-year period. This learning journey consists of around 45 days of instructor-led classroom training supported by peer coaching, on-the-job learning and a growing number of e-learning modules. Assessments at the end of the first and second years ensure that new examiners are acquiring the relevant skills and can meet the EPO's high quality standards. Examiners are considered fully trained after four years at the EPO.

Continuous development is also of strategic importance for the EPO. In 2019, staff completed a total of 156 607 classroom training hours and 14 035 hours of e-learning. Moreover, 93.7% of staff completed at least one training module in 2019. In 2019 300 DG 1 formalities officers also participated in development programmes to enhance their expertise and 68 examiners passed all EQE papers.

1.1.1 Maximising collaboration to enhance quality

The EPO's reputation for excellence is largely built on to its unique three-person patent examining divisions. Collaboration is inherent in our approach to the patent granting process and has always been the key to our consistent delivery of high-quality products and services. In a quest for continuous improvement, however, the EPO is constantly seeking new ways to leverage collaboration's positive impact.
In 2019 we piloted a "Collaborative Quality Improvements" (CQI) scheme whereby 450 examiners performed about 7,700 consultations. The results were very encouraging, with participants recording a quality increase in 28% of files under discussion. Other reported benefits are illustrated in the figure below.

Thanks to this pilot's promising outcome, the initiative was developed into a SP2023 project towards the end of 2019. The project will further promote and embed collaborative working throughout the EPO using new methods and approaches.

1.1.2 Continuous Knowledge Transfer (CKT)

CKT promotes a culture of knowledge sharing and allows EPO staff to exchange best practices. In 2019 the CKT team supplied several tools and services to support continuous learning, including:

- **EPeOple**: a web application providing information on individual staff member's functions, duties and competencies, enabling staff to identify and contact relevant persons for support in their daily work.
- **Peer to Peer (P2P) events**: a repository of presentations prepared by colleagues, available for re-use (e.g. in the area of Classification, Search, Examination, Opposition)
- **Tip of the day**: over 2,500 tips were submitted by over 800 authors and published on the EPO's intranet. The tips offered classified, searchable knowledge on patent granting tools, IT and tools, procedures, documentation, ergonomics etc. They were consulted by 5,100 different users in 2019, creating substantial time savings for examiners and other staff.
As part of the P2P activities, the CKT team organised 479 events in 2019. These events focused on topics such as novelty, clarity, Computer Implemented Inventions (CII) unity of invention, the EPO's Guidelines and our search tools.

1.2 Quality and SP2023

In June 2019 the EPO published its Strategic Plan 2023 (SP2023) setting out an ambitious vision for the future. Quality lies at the heart of that vision and the plan's third goal focuses exclusively on initiatives to safeguard and improve the quality of our products and services. In 2019 extensive work went into drafting Goal 3 of SP2023. This features 44 projects and a number of tracked activities covering topics like mastering the prior art, streamlining procedures, timeliness and improving collaboration. The programme reflects the EPO's continued commitment to delivering excellence.

Source: EPO

Figure 2: The EPO's Quality Policy¹

¹ https://www.epo.org/about-us/services-and-activities/quality/policy.html
1.3 Mastering the prior art

A prior art search is the starting point for examining any patent application. EPO examiners have access to the world's most extensive prior-art collection, which comprises over 1.5 billion technical records in 182 databases.

The collection contains 120 million patent documents, over 610,000 English language abstracts and summaries of traditional knowledge documents originally published in India, China and Korea, as well as over 10,000 journal titles covering all areas of technology. Examiners can also access numerous subscription-only external databases and collections.

The EPO receives patent data from many IP offices worldwide, which is integrated into its constantly expanding master documentation database (DOCDB). Thanks to the EPO's documentation programme, examiners can provide applicants with complete search reports, which contain the most relevant prior art at a very early stage in the patent granting procedure.

[Image: Countries contributing to the EPO's documentation database in 2019]

Source: EPO

Figure 3: Countries contributing to the EPO's documentation database in 2019
1.3.1 Asian prior art

The EPO has over 65 million Asian-origin patent documents in its databases. This fast-growing source of prior art in EPO search reports is increasingly important for providing legal certainty to our users. In addition to documentation experts, our Asian Patent Expert Group (APEG) also plays a major role in ensuring that EPO's Asian prior art collection remains as complete as possible. APEG consists of some 150 staff members who raise awareness of how to search Asian prior art. They also provide valuable training and ad hoc translation services, which enhance the quality of our search and examination work.

These and other efforts have resulted in rising citation rates of Asian documents in EPO search reports. In 2019 22.5% of EPO search reports contained at least one "Asian-only" citation, i.e., a citation only available in an Asian language (CN, JP, KR) and with no family member in English, French, or German.

In the same period, 15% of EPO search reports contained at least one "Asian-only" citation which was deemed highly pertinent for the novelty or inventive step of the application being searched. "Asian-origin" citations may be in any language, but the parent application originated in Asia. In 2019 over 45% of EPO search reports contained at least one Asian-origin citation, highlighting Asia's importance in innovation.

![Figure 4: Percentage of EPO search reports featuring an Asian citation](image)
1.3.2 Standards documentation

Standards are a set of requirements for a specific item, material, component, system or service, or a particular method or procedure. They are developed to ensure the compatibility and interoperability of components, products and services. Standards constitute a central pillar of the modern knowledge economy and promote the dissemination of new technologies.

The EPO continuously monitors developments to identify new standards developing organisations (SDO) collections. In 2019 we added the standards and technical reports of the Japanese Association of Radio Industries and Business (ARIB) – the first ever collection from an Asian SDO to be incorporated into the EPO's databases. Currently, EPO patent examiners can consult comprehensive literature collections a number of standardisation organisations, including:

- European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)
- 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP)
- Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU)
- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standards Association (IEEE-SA)
- Digital Video Broadcasting Project (DVB)
- Open Mobile Alliance (OMA)
- oneM2M – Standards for M2M and the Internet of Things
- International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)
- Association of Radio Industries and Business (ARIB)

In addition to final standards issued by the SDOs, the EPO's databases also include technical disclosures submitted during the standardisation process. These documents are normally published before the final standard is agreed upon, making them highly relevant for the patent granting process.

Source: EPO

Figure 5: Number of documents in EPO SDO databases 2013-2019
The EPO has over 3.9 million standards documents (including draft versions) in its databases and they are increasingly cited in EPO search reports. In some technical areas, most of the search reports issued by the EPO will contain at least one standards document (e.g. 71% for the field H04N19). In 2019 EPO examiners cited 42,775 SDO documents in their search reports, marking a 52% increase compared to 2018.

1.3.3 Strengthening the legal certainty of plant-related patents

The CPVO is a European Union agency, which manages the European Union system of plant variety rights covering the 27 Member States. The CPVO and the EPO have been in a bilateral cooperation since 2016 and strive for exchange of information and increased transparency between the two organisations.

When applying for Plant Variety rights, the applicant submits a Technical Questionnaire (TQ) and upon grant of a variety right a Variety Description (VD) is published. In 2018, the cooperation between the Community Plant Variety Office (CVPO) and the EPO resulted in the integration of the full text of published applications and grants from the CVPO in the prior art collection of the EPO.

This valuable source of information keeps growing and represents today over 9,300 records. It enables the EPO examiners to provide even more legal certainty to applicants seeking protection for plant-related inventions.

In 2019 the EPO also extended its administrative arrangement with the EU Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) for another three years until 2022. Under this agreement, the EPO and the CPVO enhanced their bilateral co-operation and information exchanges with a view to supporting innovation in the plant sector. Co-operation was extended to include joint training initiatives for the public and offering EPC Contracting States access to CPVO documentation on plant varieties through EPOQUE Net as of 1 July 2019.

1.4 Classification: the cornerstone of quality

EPO classifiers assign both International Patent Classification (IPC) and Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC) symbols to prior art. The three main benefits of an effective classification system are that it:

- Structures prior art according to well-defined concepts in a language-independent way
- Improves search efficiency
- Enables the retrieval of prior art published in any language (including non-EPO languages).
Any document that is classified incorrectly, or too late, cannot be reliably retrieved by an examiner during a prior art search. Missing such a document may, in turn, potentially lead to an incomplete or inaccurate assessment of the patentability of the claimed invention. Finding highly relevant, late-classified documents at the later stages of the examining procedure, or even after a grant has been issued, also complicates procedures for applicants and the EPO. In other words, accurate and timely classification lays the foundations for quality at subsequent stages of the patent granting process.

![Diagram of a pyramid showing relationships between Patent quality, Search quality, and Classification quality.](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

- It is an investment
- It is in continuous evolution
- It needs maintenance
- It has to be managed
- It has to be efficient

Source: EPO

**Figure 6: Improving classification and its impact**

In 2019, DG 1 classifiers closed 1.1 million classification visits, which was substantially higher than their annual target of closing 981 000 visits. In doing so, they brought substantial added value to our patent collection and reduced our backlog to virtually zero. As a result, our documentation is now classified at the time that search is performed.

The Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC), launched in January 2013, was initiated as a partnership between the USPTO and the EPO. The CPC was largely based on the European Classification System (ECLA), but modified to ensure compliance with the International Patent Classification system (IPC) standards administered by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO).

---

2 The classification procedure at the EPO focuses on ensuring complete and correct allocation of classification symbols. Documents being are sent to multiple classification experts who classify the different technical aspects of a disclosure. These different rounds of classification are called "visits".
Currently, 29 offices are classifying using the CPC, including the CNIPA and KIPO, and over 45,000 examiners worldwide use the CPC in their search work. International co-operation in classification brings huge benefits to all concerned. Thanks to the CPC, examiners can retrieve prior art from many different sources, regardless of language. As a result, the EPO continued to invest in training efforts to help other offices to classify prior art correctly with the CPC in 2019. The CPC was also presented and discussed at outreach events in 2019, such as our CPC Annual Meeting for offices, the IPC Committee of Experts and several meetings with users including the EPO’s Search Matters conference.

1.4.1 Monitoring and improving classification quality

1.4.1.1 Pre-Classification

Over 200,000 incoming applications need to be pre-classified each year. Accurate pre-classification enables efficient distribution to the right examination directorate. In 2019, the quality of internal application routing was at 88-90%, and consistently above target (80%). This ensured that the right files were distributed to the right examiners. It also helped to reduce the time taken to route applications from the formalities officers in the receiving section to the examiners carrying out the search.

1.4.1.2 Classification

As co-owner of the CPC, together with the USPTO, the EPO has established a system of quality checks to ensure that CPC classification symbols are applied in a complete, correct and consistent way:

- Under operational quality control of classification (Class-OQC), the classification of around 50,000 classified applications and prior-art documents is checked each year by expert classifiers. The results are used to give feedback to classifiers and steer any localised improvements needed.
- A classification audit is carried out annually on a sample of documents by a team of trained auditors with the aim of establishing an Office-wide benchmark for classification quality.
- Additionally, the CPC Quality Assurance programme monitors divergences between the EPO’s classification work and that done by other offices through a mixture of expert checks and automated comparisons. The results of these checks are used to reduce future divergences.
Our 2019 Classification Audit showed that the overall quality of DG 1’s classification was 93%, which was slightly below our target of 95%.

![Quality of classification (conformity in %)](source: EPO)

**Figure 7: Quality of classification (conformity in %)**

Last year we implemented a number of measures to further enhance classification quality, including:

- Circulating documents to specific classifiers
- Setting up feedback loops between quality checkers and those responsible for classification schemes in particular areas
- Reviewing sampling for operational quality control of classification (CLASS-OQC)
- Ensuring complete classification before publication.

### 1.5 State-of-the-art IT tools and systems

IT tools are a powerful enabler of any high-quality patent granting process. To retrieve the most pertinent prior art from our databases swiftly and efficiently, EPO examiners need effective tools. To this end, the EPO invests heavily each year in providing cutting-edge IT solutions that enable our staff to continue producing high-quality search reports.

Thanks to Patent Translate, a machine translation service developed by the EPO in co-operation with Google, examiners can understand and use prior art, which is not in an official EPO language much more quickly than before. Patent Translate is available in Espacenet and the European Publication Server. This tool is constantly trained with new document sets to improve translation quality, thereby benefiting our users, as well as the EPO.
In 2019 the EPO upgraded one of its main search tools ANSERA (A new search era) to enable searches in non-patent literature. With the help of a network of ANSERA experts across the EPO, we also developed and refined a number of new tool features. These included improvements to the viewer, which allows our examiners to inspect prior art more closely and efficiently. Combined with targeted training initiatives, these improvements led to a 20% increase in citations coming from ANSERA in 2019.

Figure 8: Growth in usage of ANSERA per sector in 2019

As a result, examiners now benefit from improved access to original submissions from applicants, and can reuse the text and display in colour without resorting to manual optical character recognition (OCR). For a more detailed overview of advances in our tools, please refer to the "IT Report 2019: driving digital transformation" annex.
2. Ensuring continual improvement

2.1 Developing a common definition of quality

In 2019 we moved a step closer to forging a common definition of quality and bridging the gap between the EPO's internal understanding of quality and our users' external perceptions.

Several internal workshops for EPO staff and managers were organised to prioritise key quality factors influencing EPO search and examination products, both under the EPC and the PCT.

In February 2019 similar workshops were held at a SACEPO Working Party on Quality (WP-Q) meeting as part of our in-depth consultation with external users. Based on feedback from these workshops, key quality factors for external users are that:

- **search** targets the core of the invention and covers all claims, with a search report that cites all relevant prior art documents.
- **examination** is characterised by a consistent approach with the option of an early discussion on the core of the invention.

Participants in the EPO's internal workshops came to exactly the same conclusion for search and assessed legal certainty as the most important factor determining EPO quality in examination. In the future an SP2023 project will focus on aligning internal and external perceptions of quality.

2.2 Quality Management System

The EPO's ISO 9001:2015 certified quality management system (QMS) covers the whole patent process, from filing, search, examination, limitation/revocation and opposition to patent information and post-grant activities. The overall quality of the patent process is monitored by assessing both the quality of the processes and procedures, and the quality of the products generated, including their timeliness.
The EPO's QMS is designed to ensure that mistakes can be corrected before Office actions are sent to applicants as far as possible. It was subject to a surveillance audit by external auditors in 2019 and no non-conformities were detected.

**Figure 9: Development of the EPO's Quality Management System**

Regular internal audits of QMS processes are required by the ISO 9001 standard. These audits establish whether the QMS is being effectively implemented and maintained. In 2019, 13 internal audits were conducted, mainly focusing on matters relating to the 2019 reorganisation of the EPO and training. A recertification audit will take place in autumn 2020.
EPO-wide processes and procedures are audited by our Directorate Internal Audit (IA). Patent process products are assessed independently by both our:

- Directorate Quality Audit (DQA) – responsible for auditing search and grant products
- Directorate General Patent Granting Process (DG 1) – implements a system of operational checks providing quality assurance in search, examination, opposition and formalities work.

Figure 10: An overview of DQA processes

2.2.1 Formalities Operational Quality Control (OQC-FO)

The work done by EPO formalities officers is crucial and the EPO’s OQC-FO monitors the quality of their actions throughout the patent grant process. The current system was introduced in January 2019. Checks are in-process as far as possible so that errors can be corrected before actions are dispatched to applicants. The system comprises three different types of checks:

- **Conformity assurance** for search, examination and opposition formalities work. These checks reflect the external user point of view on products which have recently been released or are about to be dispatched by the EPO.
- **Targeted checks** running over a period of time with a focus on internal processes and recent work done by formalities officers on specific quality issues.
- **One-off targeted checks**, with a focus on analysing areas of concern.

Checks are carried out by a group of selected and trained quality officers. Individual organisational units may not check their own files. Any non-conformities found are recorded and our quality experts verify the findings, give feedback to the formalities officers in question and ensure that corrections are made.
In 2019, a total of around 6 100 operational quality control (OQC) checks covering 13 different procedural aspects were performed by formalities quality officers and experts. The main issues detected were in the area of filing at the EPO as receiving Office, correct handling of the PCT preliminary examination phase (Chapter II) and incorrect handling of early requests to enter the regional phase at the EPO.

In the opposition formalities area, quality checks were done on a statistically relevant sample of production covering admissibility, oral proceedings preparation and decisions. Two of the main deficiencies identified were incorrect calculations of the final day for making written submissions and the timely transmission of submissions to all parties.

To address these issues, we improved our working instructions and training material for formalities officers, introduced check lists, organised workshops by experts, classroom and e-learning sessions and individual coaching. Identified problems and risk areas remain under surveillance.

2.3 Learning from each other: Conformity Assurance for Search and Examination

Conformity Assurance for Search and Examination (CASE) is an essential element of the EPO's QMS. By tracking in-process quality with checks performed by both chairpersons of search/examining divisions and line managers, CASE puts the QMS continual improvement principle into practice. In 2019 in-process quality checks were performed on:

- 4% of all searches (EP, PCT, national) amounting to a sample of almost 8 900
- all patent grant proposals totalling around 146 000.

All non-conformities are corrected before a file is dispatched to applicants to increase the quality of the EPO's products.

In addition to enhancing quality, CASE is also a valuable learning tool. By recording the detailed reasons for corrections, it highlights systematic quality issues, meaning that actions can be taken to prevent them from reoccurring. The effectiveness of such actions is monitored by regularly evaluating the recordings of the past 12 months.

Between April and October 2019, 78 CASE learning sessions took place in 50 directorates in DG 1. The latest evaluation of CASE data shows that these actions were effective and led to improvements, particularly in the examination of issues relating to clarity (Article 84 EPC).
2.3.1 Improving opposition and learning from opposition outcomes

In April 2019, the introduction of opposition operational quality control (Oppo-OQC) closed the loop at the end of the Patent Grant Process. Under Oppo-OQC all opposition oral proceedings minutes and all decisions are checked by quality nominees. Quality nominees are experienced examiners with no involvement in the opposition cases they check. They verify conformity of the opposition procedure by following and filling in a detailed questionnaire.

The information from these questionnaires is evaluated with a view to identifying improvements not only in opposition procedures, but also in search and examination. This also supports discussions and training within sectors and DG 1.

In 2019, overall levels of conformity of opposition products were at a mean average level of 97% compliance. We were nevertheless able to identify some areas for improvement, including the need to ensure that the minutes of opposition proceedings correspond more closely to the content of respective decisions.

Source: EPO

* The "Druckexemplar" is a document prepared for publication.

Figure 11: Oppo-OQC (April 2019 – March 2020) Compliance of decision
Oppo-OQC provides valuable feedback for the search and examination phases. In 2019 it revealed that certain relevant prior art documents were only found in the opposition phase, but should have been identified in search and/or examination. Oppo-OQC also highlighted scope for improvement in the examination of added-subject matter. Going forward, we aim to develop an opposition quality indicator that reflects adherence to fairness, predictability and correctness based on opposition outcomes.

### 2.4 Independent product audits

The Directorate Quality Audit (DQA) is a key unit in the EPO’s Quality Management System. It carries out two types of quality audit:

- Audits on products that measure the quality of searches, proposals for grant, opposition and refusal products
- Specific product audits defined by identified major risks.

Both DQA and the Directorate Internal Audit (IA) are part of the Principal Directorate Internal Audit and Oversight, which is independent of our operational departments and reports directly to the President. Product checks by DQA are performed "in process", meaning that any deficiencies can be corrected before products are dispatched to applicants. In 2019, search conformity levels were 97%, up from 94.6% in 2018.

![Figure 12: Percentage of search reports found compliant by quality audits](image-url)
In 2019, DQA performed 773 audits on applications proposed for grant. After the 2018 decrease in the compliance rate to 76.2%, the 2019 results of the quality audits increased slightly to 76.9%. The audits produced targeted recommendations for improvement that are currently being addressed by specific actions. In 2020 the audit sample will be increased to 1 100.

![Figure 13: Percentage of patent grants found compliant by quality audits](image)

In 2019 we took the following measures to improve grant compliance:

**Added subject-matter (Article 123 EPC):**
- Reinforced practical knowledge through classroom training
- Knowledge assessment test for newcomers 8 months after initial training

**Clarity (Article 84 EPC):**
- Senior expert training sessions based on CASE detailed lists results
- Discussions of audited files between examiners and auditors

**Novelty and inventive step (Articles 54 and 56 EPC):**
- At the grant stage examiners to explain why each document is no longer relevant for grant stage

In 2019, all DQA auditors met with examiners to discuss quality audit results and the potential for improvements. DQA auditors actively participated in presenting the results to operational staff, and regularly attended CKT events to keep abreast of the latest developments in technologies. Two auditors are also active members of the CII working group.
2.4.1 Ensuring high quality B Publications

The EPO sends the agreed text for patents to be published in image form to an external publisher. If aspects of the text are not unambiguously clear or image quality is poor, the publisher will send an enquiry to the EPO. The EPO monitors the frequency and content of these enquiries and has an internal feedback loop to its examining divisions.

In 2019, roughly 5.4% of published patents had an enquiry from the publisher. Although not all of the enquiries related to errors, the EPO was able to answer the majority and correct where necessary. A large share of these enquiries were related to the quality of images sent to the publisher. In such cases the quality of input from applicants heavily influences the quality of the publication. This enquiry process is important, as corrections after publication are not straightforward (see decision G1/10 of the Enlarged Board of Appeal).

During production of the publication documents, the text quality of each weekly batch is monitored using statistically relevant samples. The EPO requires that the quality level of optical character recognition (OCR) exceeds the acceptance rate of 99.995%, which translates into less than one character mistake per 13 pages.

2.5 Timeliness

In 2014 the EPO launched its Early Certainty from Search (ECfS) initiative. Since then, significant improvements have been made in the timeliness of our delivery of search, examination and opposition products to users.
2.5.1 Search: delivering high-quality results on time

With the advent of ECfS in July 2014, the EPO decided to prioritise all new searches and reduce its backlog of around 80 000 searches gradually in the years that followed.

Source: EPO

* P95 means that 95% of our searches are finished within this limit, only 5% slower (mostly stayed procedure).

Figure 14: Evolution of the EPO's search timeliness

The search timeliness situation before ECfS was excellent for national, European and PCT first-filings, but the low priority given to second-filings meant that 95% (P95) of searches took up to 45.6 months. The dramatic improvement in the P95 figure followed a special initiative launched in 2017 to reduce the pre-ECfS search backlog. With old searches gone, the P95 figure is now stable and was slightly above 13 months from the date of receipt by the end of 2019.
2.5.2 Examination: steadily improving results

After the initial focus on search, the focus shifted to examination and opposition in July 2016 with the launch of our Early Certainty from Examination and Opposition initiative. The P95 figure started to improve at the beginning of 2019 when the search backlog was finally tackled and examiner capacity was freed up to focus on reducing examination stocks. By the end of 2019, 95% of all grants were delivered within 83 months, almost 7 years from the valid examination request. This marks an improvement of 6 months compared to 2014.

Source: EPO

Figure 15: Evolution of the EPO's examination timeliness
2.5.3 Opposition: mastering our workload

As expected, the prioritisation of oppositions under Early Certainty led to a swift improvement in timeliness. Once the residual old opposition files had been processed towards the end of 2016, the P95 figure fell. By the end of 2019 95% of all opposition cases were completed within 28.6 months.

Source: EPO

Figure 16: Evolution of the EPO's opposition timeliness

Building on these timeliness gains, a review was conducted to analyse how the EPO could best define targets and report on timeliness performance in 2020. Its results will be covered in future publications.

2.5.4 The Patent Cooperation Treaty: delivering high quality on time

The Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) helps innovators to secure potential markets for new technologies while deferring major costs until both the markets and their technologies mature. To maximise the benefit of their patents, applicants need high-quality searches and written opinions as early as possible to help them make the right business decisions.
As the international authority performing the most searches and examinations under the PCT, the EPO has a special responsibility to deliver high-quality products on time. The share of EPO international search reports published along with the application (i.e. A1 publications) has remained at a stable and high level in recent years.

![Figure 17: Percentage of PCT Chapter 1 international searches completed in time for publication along with the application (A1 publication)](image)

Source: EPO

Similarly, the timeliness of PCT examinations has remained stable in recent years. The percentage of international applications filed with the EPO as IPEA\(^3\) for which an IPER\(^4\) was transmitted within 28 months was 92% in 2019.

![Figure 18: Percentage of PCT Chapter II examinations completed within 28 months from the priority date](image)

Source: EPO

---

\(^3\) International Preliminary Examination Authority.

\(^4\) International Preliminary Examination Report.
2.5.5 Accelerated examination is improving

The timeliness of accelerated examination (PACE) procedures improved significantly from 3.1 months in 2016 to 2.1 months in 2019. Figures are calculated from the filing of the acceleration request to the issuance of the next office action by the examiner.

Source: EPO

Figure 19: Accelerated examination: time (median, months) from request to next examiner action

Effective interactions and co-operation with our users are a top priority for the EPO. SP2023 features a number of initiatives aimed at improving our outreach, collaborative and feedback mechanisms for the benefit of the EPO, its stakeholders and the European patent system in general.

3.1 User feedback as a quality improvement enabler

In 2019 the EPO started to systematically improve the way it collects, manages and integrates user feedback. This initiative will give us a deeper understanding of user requirements and enable us to identify improvement opportunities more effectively.

![Metrics-based feedback diagram]

Source: EPO

Figure 20: User feedback – a core element of our QMS

3.2 Incoming application quality

Patent quality is impacted by applicants, their representatives and the EPO. The patent granting process is a partnership in which the various actors have a responsibility to support procedural efficiency and ensure that their actions lead to high-quality products.

Since user behaviour and drafting styles potentially have the capacity to impact procedural efficiency and patent product quality, the EPO is taking a closer look at incoming application quality under SP2023. By informing applicants of common, avoidable deficiencies in new applications, we aim to make their dealings with the EPO smoother and more efficient.
Initial studies focused on formal errors detected at the time of filing which, if not remedied, lead to a loss of rights. The table below shows a recent analysis of new, incoming EP applications and entries into the regional phase (EPCT). Overall, the quality of incoming applications is high in terms of formal requirements. However, while the percentage of observed formal errors is relatively low, the number of cases requiring attention is high and necessitates a disproportionate amount of intervention on the part of formalities officers.

### 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of applications</th>
<th>Number of applications with formal deficiency communications</th>
<th>Percentage of applications with deficiencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP direct applications</td>
<td>75,900</td>
<td>2,150</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPCT (Entry into the regional phase)</td>
<td>106,550</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EPO

**Figure 21: Overview of application deficiencies in 2019**

**Most frequent issues detected**

| EP direct applications | • Title not present  
|                        | • Abstract missing   
|                        | • Drawings incorrectly referenced  
|                        | • Hidden sequence listing present   
|                        | • Quality of drawings (becoming an issue in later publications)  
|                        | • Missing signatures  |

| EPCT (Entry into the regional phase) | small numbers of  
|                                      | • Deposit account incorrectly specified  
|                                      | • Missing signatures  |

Source: EPO

**Figure 22: Most frequently detected deficiencies**
Despite productivity increases and falling backlogs in recent years, the EPO has consistently offered the same high standard of services. The chart below shows that the percentage of positive search opinions⁵ has also remained constant at 6.8-7.0% since 2014 when the Early Certainty initiative began. This suggests that there has been little change in the substantive quality of incoming applications in recent years.

![Chart showing constant positive search opinion numbers and frequencies](image)

Source: EPO

**Figure 23: Constant positive search opinion numbers and frequencies**

⁵ A positive search opinion contains no objections from the EPO examiner. It signifies that, pending the results of a top-up search upon entry to the substantive examination phase, the application is suitable for grant.
Similarly, the relatively stable number of intermediate communications required for all non-direct\(^6\) grants published between 2011-2019 shows that there may be potential for efficiency gains by improving incoming application quality and the quality of interactions between examiners and representatives.

Source: EPO

**Figure 24: Average intermediate communications for non-direct grants – published 2011-2019**

In the future, we will engage with our users to seek their perspective on how the EPO can provide practical help to the user community to improve incoming application quality.

\(^6\) Direct Grant: the first action in examination is a grant. Such files are excluded from these statistics as they do not have intermediate actions.
3.3 SACEPO Working Party on Quality

The Standing Advisory Committee before the EPO (SACEPO) Working Party on Quality (WP-Q) was set up to engage with users and gather feedback on quality at the EPO. It forms part of the EPO's strategy to continuously improve its products, services and communication with users.

The group includes representatives from user associations in all IP5 jurisdictions, as well as delegates from the European industry and practice. To increase engagement with our users, two SACEPO Working Party on Quality meetings were held in 2019. The format of these meetings was changed in 2019 to feature new workshops that foster a deeper mutual understanding of substantive and procedural issues related to quality. The February meeting featured workshops aimed at gaining input from users to forge a joint definition of quality.

3.3.1 Innovative quality assessments: independent external review panels

At the October 2019 SACEPO WP-Q meeting, 10 independent external assessors examined a number of patent files with regard to novelty and added subject matter. Their analysis of these cases showed that evaluations by auditors from the EPO's Directorate Quality Audit were well aligned with those given by external assessors. These interactive sessions significantly increased the level of engagement between the EPO and its users. External assessments of EPO practice and quality will continue in the future.

3.4 Developing a user-centric approach to quality

3.4.1 User satisfaction survey

The EPO has conducted comprehensive user satisfaction surveys for many years. In 2019, however, we fully redesigned our survey in close co-operation with representatives from our user community. Three workshops were held at the October 2019 SACEPO WP-Q meeting to gather user input on meaningful questions that should be asked in the future.
As well as being shaped by our users, our new survey is now more focused on identifying their needs. Its results will allow the Office to effectively target its improvement efforts towards meeting user expectations. Additional workshops at the October meeting also helped us to identify ways to improve our complaints and user feedback channels.

### 3.4.2 Complaints

Dissatisfaction is relatively rare amongst EPO users, but we do receive a small number of complaints every year. The EPO’s complaint handling unit investigates every complaint and ensures that suitable measures are taken to address issues fairly and efficiently. The complainant receives a comprehensive reply within 20 working days featuring information on any action that has been taken. Complaints are a particularly valuable source of user feedback, as they enable the EPO to identify areas where changes may be needed to further improve quality. The EPO provides a convenient online tool for registering complaints, making it easier for users to bring potential issues to its attention. The number of complaints received has remained relatively stable over time.

![Figure 25: Complaints received in 2015-2019](source: EPO)

7 [www.epo.org/complaints](http://www.epo.org/complaints).
3.4.3 Customer services

The EPO's user desk is dedicated to improving user interactions. A single point of contact has been established to enable a transparent, faster response to customer queries. In 2019 the user desk directed a total of 70,029 enquiries, registered as service tickets, to the EPO's various operational services. Overall, users are satisfied with formalities and customer services.

Source: EPO

Figure 26: Resolution of customer services enquiries within 2 working days

Each user enquiry is captured in the customer relationship management (CRM) tool with an electronic ticket (CRM ticket) to track the entire workflow from receipt to resolution. After resolution of the CRM ticket, the user automatically receives an email and is invited to provide feedback. All customer feedback is analysed and followed up internally creating a cycle of continuous improvement.

3.4.4 Account managers: providing practical support

Continuous and direct interaction between applicants and EPO account managers enables the EPO to respond swiftly to user needs and maximise the benefits of automation. In 2019 our account managers dealt with 650 accounts and visited 60 companies, primarily to support patent attorneys and paralegals in their interactions with the EPO. Another ten high-level company visits took place in 2019, with a focus on exchanging information on filing strategies, quality and procedural issues.
3.5 Engaging with applicants

In 2019, over 300 examiners and other staff from Directorate-General Patent Granting Process visited different companies in over 100 technical missions. Feedback from these visits is routinely analysed to identify opportunities for improvement and areas where EPO staff are performing well.

![Geographical distribution of technical missions in 2019](source:EPO)

**Figure 27: Geographical distribution of technical missions in 2019**

3.5.1 Partnership for quality: reaching out to user associations

Consultation with stakeholders across the world is crucial to the EPO. For several years we have organised dedicated "partnership for quality" meetings with user organisations in Europe, USA, Japan, China and Republic of Korea. In 2019 the EPO started to explore new ways of improving its outreach activities, enhancing dialogue and obtaining constructive feedback on the quality of its products and services. By improving our business intelligence analytics under SP2023, we ensure a more effective communication flow with users and practitioners in the IP community.

3.5.2 Praktika Extern

The Praktika Extern programme places experienced EPO examiners in patent attorney firms and industry within the EPC contracting states, as well as in China, Japan, the Republic of Korea and the USA. In 2019 our formalities officers were also offered the opportunity to complete internships in our member states.
The programme gives EPO staff a better understanding of patent attorneys' work, as well as the issues and challenges they face. Host companies also benefit from working directly with experienced examiners and formalities officers, as this gives them gain deeper insights into EPO practices. Feedback gained by examiners, formalities officers and host attorneys, during their internships is used for to improve the EPO's products and services.

Source: EPO

**Figure 28: Number of EPO staff participating in Praktika Extern 2010-2019**

Source: EPO

**Figure 29: Breakdown of Praktika Extern feedback in 2019**
3.6 Leveraging international co-operation to benefit users

The EPO continued to leverage international co-operation activities to benefit its users in 2019. In recent years, rapid technological advances in the area of "Computer Implemented Inventions" (CII) have resulted in a significant upturn in CII-related patent applications. This represents a challenge to patent offices and applicants alike, as does the increasing penetration of CII technologies into other areas of innovation. Another challenge for applicants is the fact that different patent offices operate under different legal codes, and may apply different approaches to the examination of CII patent applications.

In response, the EPO, CNIPA\(^8\) and JPO\(^9\) jointly conducted comparative studies on software related inventions in 2019. These studies aim to provide applicants and practitioners with insights into their respective examination practices. They illustrate the similarities and differences in approaches, helping applicants to understand how they may draft patent claims that fulfil the patentability requirements at the offices concerned.


Should you have any comments or suggestions for improving this report, please send your feedback to quality@epo.org