Foreword

In the years since the EPO first opened its doors, one objective has taken precedence among all others: the granting of the highest-quality patents, supported by equally high-quality services. The pursuit of this goal has shaped us as an organisation, guided our strategy and earned us a reputation as a patent office that consistently delivers legally robust patents to its users.

At the EPO we understand just how crucial it is to grant high-quality patents. Only they can provide the legal certainty that is required by innovative businesses that need protection for their inventions. And only high-quality patents ensure that the European patent system is an efficient and reliable platform to support innovation.

To ensure that quality retains its primary position in our planning, the EPO has been implementing a Quality and Efficiency strategy since 2011. As its title suggests, quality is an inseparable element of our work, no matter how great the pressure to enhance efficiency in an ever more competitive environment.

The strategic direction for Quality at the EPO is set out in the Quality Roadmap. Major milestones have been achieved as a result of this strategy, and our determination to ensure that quality is our top priority. In 2014, for example, the EPO became the first IPS Office to receive ISO 9001 certification for its Quality Management System, covering the search, examination, opposition, limitation and revocation stages of the patent granting process. Just one year later another landmark was reached when that certification was extended to cover the entire end-to-end patent granting process, including patent information and post-grant activities.

As a result of these efforts, quality has become a hallmark of our products on which our users can rely and depend. But we also know that this achievement cannot be taken for granted and, if we are to retain a leading position in this field, constant efforts have to be made to improve quality further. Each year we set ourselves tougher internal targets, each year we examine and implement new initiatives to ensure that the EPO’s quality evolves positively.

This report itself is one of those new initiatives, designed to help us monitor and improve our quality further. The very first of its kind, this annual Quality Report provides our users with a full set of quality indicators. In full transparency, the EPO’s member states and users now have access to a comprehensive report that gives detailed information on how the EPO is progressing.

But, more than just a set of individual indicators, it is an overview of our commitment to quality and the results that are being achieved. It underlines clearly how the EPO is supporting innovation with high-quality patents that will ultimately drive economic growth, employment and prosperity.

Benoît Battistelli  
President of the European Patent Office
The EPO vision and mission

**Our vision – what we want to be**

With expert, well-supported staff, motivated to set worldwide standards in quality and efficiency, we will continue to contribute to innovation across Europe, and play a leading role in developing an effective global patent system. All our relationships – within our Office and with partners around the world – will prosper through trust, transparency, fairness and mutual respect. Our processes will empower our people to use their knowledge and skills to the full.

**Our mission – what we do**

As the Patent Office for Europe, we support innovation, competitiveness and economic growth across Europe through a commitment to high quality and efficient services delivered under the European Patent Convention.
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1. Introduction

The Quality Report 2016 informs interested parties how the EPO is pursuing its goal to set standards in terms of IP quality.

In view of the increasing importance and number of IP rights, the enormous value of breakthrough inventions and the huge cost of litigation, patent quality has become ever more important in the global IP world. The Quality Report demonstrates and explains how the EPO assures the high quality of the patents it grants.

The first section highlights how the EPO’s quality culture is rooted in the founding principles of the European Patent Organisation and the seven principles of the EPO’s quality policy.

The following section explains how the EPO measures and assures quality throughout the patent process from the filing of a patent application to the publication of the patent specification. By applying stringent controls and continual improvement cycles the EPO guarantees the highest legal certainty for granted patents.

Another important aspect for the users of the European patent system is a timely procedure giving them certainty about the value of a patent application at an early stage. That is why the EPO responded to calls for better timeliness by adopting an Early Certainty policy for search in 2014, with the aim of delivering search reports and written opinions within 6 months of file receipt. After achieving this target in 2016, the EPO extended Early Certainty to examination and opposition in July 2016, with the aim of completing examination within 12 months on average and opposition within 15 months for standard cases.

The Quality Report 2016 concludes with a look at how the EPO engages with its users. User feedback is one of the main sources for improvements in the service portfolio and procedures of the EPO. It is the EPO’s policy to engage with users to understand their needs and expectations and foster open dialogue on how the European patent system can be continually improved.
2. The foundations of quality at the EPO

The EPO Quality Policy

The EPO is dedicated to meeting or exceeding its stakeholders’ needs and expectations and to remaining global quality leader in patent products and services. The performance and reliability of the EPO are based on the professional competence and personal responsibility of its management and staff. The management and staff commit themselves to the following principles:

Legal certainty
The users of the European patent system expect that patents granted by the EPO have the highest presumption of legal validity. The EPO therefore grants patents and provides decisions fully consistent with the applicable legal framework, in particular the requirements of the EPC and other international treaties, in both an efficient and timely manner.

Service
The EPO provides reliable, efficient and effective services for the benefit and satisfaction of all users of the European patent system and European society.

Continual improvement
The EPO commits itself to continually improving its training, tools, procedures and processes with a view to enhancing the thoroughness, consistency and timeliness of its products and services and the skills and competences of its staff.

Involvement
The EPO has a culture that encourages and empowers management and staff to participate in quality improvement activities.

Informed decision making
Decisions taken at the EPO are based on facts enabling it to review, challenge and adapt planned actions as well as to improve the products and services it delivers.

Openness
The EPO engages with its users to enhance the quality and effectiveness of its processes and services.

Commitment
The top management of the EPO is committed to this Quality Policy through active participation in quality improvement activities and leadership by example.

In pursuing these principles the EPO builds on the culture of quality and excellence that has established its reputation.
2.1 Quality begins with staff recruitment

Quality in a knowledge-based organisation like the EPO is people-oriented. The approach taken by the EPO is to employ highly qualified specialists, give them the best possible training and working tools, provide them with a solid support network and finally ensure that their work is of the highest quality by checking the resulting products and, where necessary, making corrections or improvements.

The job of a European patent examiner demands a unique combination of scientific expertise, analytical thinking, language skills and knowledge of intellectual property law. Therefore all EPO patent examiners have a Master’s degree or equivalent in physics, chemistry, engineering or natural sciences. They have excellent knowledge of at least one of the EPO’s official languages (English, French and German) and the ability to understand the other two in order to be able to treat patent applications in all official languages at the same level of quality.

The EPO is an international organisation which in 2016 employed more than 6 800 people from 34 countries. Being a highly attractive employer the EPO is in a position to select only the very best candidates. In 2016 it received almost 20,000 job applications but recruited only 185 examiners and 41 non-examiner staff. Moreover, the staff turnover rate is very low at the EPO (around 4%), meaning that expertise is retained for the benefit of applicants and the Office.
2.2 Training

The EPO is strongly committed to enabling the examiners to deliver the highest quality by providing them with intensive and high-quality training which enables them to deliver high-quality search reports and decisions. New examiners are trained in a classroom setting by instructors who are themselves experienced examiners, specially selected for this task. They also have access to online electronic training modules and comprehensive training manuals that are adapted regularly via a Technical Training Portal. The portal provides direct access to all official patent examiner training material as well as to curated peer-to-peer training material, thereby providing examiners with the necessary support when dealing with complex or unusual aspects of the examiners’ work.

Initial classroom training covers patent law as enshrined in the EPC and the PCT and how the legal texts and case law are practically applied every day by examiners. In addition, new recruits are instructed in the use of the EPO’s search and examination tools. All classroom training is interactive and there is a strong emphasis on “learning by doing”, using examples of problems frequently faced by examiners in their daily work.

The initial classroom training is accompanied by training on the job under the care of coaches. The coaches are experienced examiners who help newcomers during the first two years of their careers by providing assistance and guidance; they also check all of their new colleagues’ search and examination work before it is dispatched to applicants, thereby ensuring that learning effects are maximised and that high quality levels are maintained.

At the EPO, examiners are not considered fully trained in search and examination until they have been at the Office for four years. Training, however, does not end here; technical, IT and legal training continues throughout examiners’ careers.

EPO examiners also have an extensive support network to draw on throughout their careers. Staff with specialist knowledge in classification, Asian prior art and languages, search tools and specific technical areas are all available to assist when called on. The open and collaborative nature of the EPO ensures that knowledge and best practices are shared to the greatest extent.

For example, in the field of computer-implemented inventions (CII), a dedicated working group has been established to ensure harmonisation of practices, even in technical areas outside of Information and Communication Technology. These ongoing activities are delivering improved instructions to provide comprehensive guidance to examiners to enhance predictability, consistency and ultimately higher levels of legal certainty. Continual Office-wide efforts are being made to communicate these improvements by holding presentations, classroom and online electronic training and by creating a network of experts. Publication of these improved instructions in the “Guidelines for Examination in the EPO” is also intended to also help applicants when drafting and prosecuting their applications, thereby enhancing cooperation and efficiency to the benefit of all.
Collaborative working is obligatory for EPO examiners. Article 18 of the European Patent Convention stipulates that applications are examined by an examining division of three examiners, one of whom is entrusted with the examination.

What this means is that for every grant or refusal, three examiners are responsible not just for the decision, but also for checking the facts of the case in order to ensure that the decision is correct. Moreover, all final decisions taken by an examining division are reviewed by the examiners’ manager before they are dispatched to the applicant.

By the end of the extensive training period, examiners develop the skills required to process applications from the initial search right through to post-grant opposition, including expert knowledge of classification in their technical field. This approach enables the EPO to tailor its processes and working methods in order to maximise benefits for users:

- Applications are treated by the same primary examiner from filing until grant. This leads to consistency throughout the patent grant procedure.
- This consistency also translates into benefits through the EPO’s PCT services; the EPO’s PCT search reports have the same high quality as European search reports, meaning that both examiners and applicants can rely on these search products during the subsequent European phase.

2.3 Performance management and objectives

Operational performance and workload management provide key indicators which enable all levels of management as well as examiners to monitor and manage performance against plan. High-level objectives cascade down through the organisation to the individual such that the examiners each have their own set of individual quality objectives directed at timeliness as well as improvements in efficiency, consistency and predictability of search and examination work. The achievement of these objectives is tracked using quality performance indicators and through routine appraisal meetings between the examiner and the line manager. The direct linking of the examiners’ objectives to the strategic objectives ensures

To maintain full control of the quality delivered, the EPO has all core activities of the patent performed by in-house staff.
commitment to the goals of the Office as well as better meeting users’ needs as identified not only in user satisfaction surveys but also in the various fora with external stakeholders. Team managers have been introduced to ensure very close team collaboration between examiners and to focus on achievement of the objectives of the team and each individual. Through this management structure, local and timely corrective and improvement actions are made as necessary. Peer examiner groups share their knowledge in structured Continuous Knowledge Transfer and Asian Patent Expert Group events. These regular events help ensure examiner knowledge is kept up to date in a rapidly evolving work environment.

### 2.4 Documentation

High-quality patents can only be granted if the underlying resources are of corresponding quality. For prior art searches, which are essential for the quality of the patent process, the EPO has the world’s largest prior art collection. It comprises over 730 million records of patent and non-patent literature in over 85 databases. This includes an extensive standards documentation collection, currently consisting of almost 3 million documents. Furthermore, EPO examiners have access to subscription-only external databases and collections that contain over 10,000 journal titles spread across all areas of technology.

The EPO receives patent data from many IP offices worldwide and makes every effort to convert this data into information, and by substantially enriching this information it transforms it into knowledge. By continually expanding the collections of documents available at the EPO and by providing them to examiners in full-text form, the completeness of the prior art searches performed at the EPO is continually increased.

The EPO’s master documentation database (DOCDB) uniquely connects documents by assigning the record of a granted patent (B1 publication) to the publication of the corresponding application (A), linking the cited documents to the one in which they are cited and bringing all documents filed in different countries corresponding to the same invention into a single patent family.
Connected information nodes (i.e. data enriched with classifications, citations, family information, etc.) lead to more efficient prior art searches; e.g. standardised inventor and applicant names make it possible to collect all the patent applications filed by a particular applicant with one single search statement; standardised patent numbers enable searching for deep relations through linked (forward and backward) citations. Information can be used to derive knowledge, e.g. identifying the signature inventions for specific technologies using citation maps.

- The EPO has collected national patent documents (bibliographic data, image, full-text) from all EPO member states from 1973 to date in digital full-text format, which makes this data searchable for EPO and NPO examiners. The data is also available to the public through Espacenet.
- Through bilateral co-operation activities, new collections of patent documents are continuously being acquired by the EPO and made available. Recent examples include the addition of several tens of thousands of Indian patent documents in 2015-2016. Via the LATIPAT programme, the collections of patent documents originating from Latin American countries are also gradually being completed.
- Co-operation activities with several standardisation organisations have enabled the EPO to acquire extensive standards documentation collections.
- The EPO also cooperates with academia to acquire collections of academic publications.

**Figure 4**
Countries contributing to the EPO’s prior art database DOCDB
The EPO has almost 100% coverage of bibliographic, abstract and image data for Chinese, Korean and Japanese patent literature. In addition, EPO examiners also search machine-translated English full text of Chinese (since 1985), Korean (since 2008) and Japanese (since 1999) patent collections. Examiners are trained in how best to retrieve such prior art through effective use of CPC, FI/FT and other classification systems using high-quality modern search tools.

This improved coverage of Asian prior art in searches at the EPO has contributed to overall higher user satisfaction. In 2016 51% of users were (very) satisfied with the Office’s Asian prior art coverage (as opposed to 30% in 2013).
2.5. Electronic tools in the patent process

Major improvements have been made in search, examination and classification tools to support patent examiners in accessing the EPO’s collection of documentation indexed with the CPC classification system. Through the improved tools EPO staff further have rapid access to the most relevant documents from anywhere in the world. Translation tools ensure that the relevance of all these documents is easy to identify and use.

– Improvements to the search tools as well as to the Pre-Search tool have contributed significantly to enhancing examiners’ efficiency and quality, as these tools have enabled the examiners to retrieve a significant portion of documents relevant to novelty and inventive step in a fraction of the time previously required.

– In addition, developments in the Translation on the Fly (TFLY) tool further support examiners in effectively retrieving Asian documentation.

The streamlining and simplification of automated patent grant procedures help examiners to focus their intellectual effort on the core task of searching and examining patent applications. Furthermore, innovative approaches to presenting procedural data in graphical form support discussion amongst examiners and their managers so as to take advantage of the experience of the team and identify opportunities for greater efficiency within consistent and predictable high-quality processes.

EPO staff have access to their and their colleagues’ data concerning key parameters of search and examination practices via the Procedural Data Visualisation tool. By providing this level of transparency, teams of patent examiners can identify how to ensure a more harmonised approach to all aspects of the patent grant process.
2.6 The EPO Quality Management System

The goal of the Office’s quality strategy is to maintain the EPO’s leading position in quality and to continually improve upon it. To guarantee consistent application of the regulations and to foster continual improvement, the EPO runs its patent process on the basis of an ISO 9001 Quality Management System (QMS). By doing so it ensures that products and services provided to our users conform to all relevant requirements and meet or exceed the users’ needs and expectations. Our integrated approach to quality focuses on process monitoring and regular management review of results across a range of quality criteria. The patent process is monitored on a monthly basis by the Quality Board, which is composed of responsible executives from the operational areas and chaired by the Vice-President DG 2 in his function as Management Representative for Quality to ensure full accountability and responsibility for the quality of the products and services delivered. An interim quality review and the Annual Quality Review are conducted by the President of the EPO, who then sets objectives and reviews the effectiveness of the EPO’s QMS and the quality action plan.

The QMS is certified under the ISO 9001 standard. This covers the entire patent process, including search, examination, opposition, limitation/revocation, patent information and post-grant activities.

In autumn 2017 the EPO is due for recertification of its quality management system. The recertification will follow the 2015 revision of the ISO 9001 standard. With the implementation of this revision, risk-based thinking and planning have been strengthened in the EPO’s process management. Targeted action plans and close monitoring will make sure that any identified risks are proactively addressed and opportunities for improvements are used systematically. Successful recertification will confirm that the EPO’s quality management complies with up-to-date practices in quality management and that the whole patent process is entirely directed towards consistently delivering high-quality products and services.
3. Quality assurance in the patent process

During the patent process a number of decisions are taken and different products are issued, the most prominent being the extended European search report, the PCT search and of course the granted European patent. To ensure that only compliant office actions are issued, and to maintain a thorough understanding of the level of quality of the EPO’s work, a number of quality assurance measures are in place.

Operational quality control (OQC) and conformity assurance (CASE) are performed at crucial stages throughout the procedure, be it on formal matters or substantive examination and search. Furthermore there are also quality assurance mechanisms in place for patent information and post-grant activities (PIPGA). The various complementary mechanisms are explained in detail in the following chapters.

3.1 Conformity Assurance for Search and Examination (CASE)

The “Conformity Assurance for Search and Examination” (CASE) system of file checking is an in-process quality check which ensures errors are corrected before dispatch to the applicant. All intentions to grant are checked, as are around 6% of prior art searches (corresponding to a total of 9,600 searches), to ensure that any detected mistakes are corrected before dispatch to applicants.

For 2016 the CASE compliance indices are very high, at 98.5% for searches and 98.4% for grant, and surpass our targets of 95% for either of these indicators. This is indicative of the very high proportion of products judged to be compliant.
3.2 Operational Quality Control of Patent Administration (PA-OQC)

Operational Quality Control of Patent Administration processes and products (PA-OQC) consists of quarterly checks to monitor the EPO’s strategic quality objectives for Patent Administration. For example, the correctness of bibliographic data and the administration of the opposition procedure are checked.

The data collected is stored in a central electronic database. A quarterly report is presented to Patent Administration management, which then closes the loop by initiating corresponding actions in the daily work to achieve improvements in the process flow.

The checks are in compliance with the ISO 9001 standard and facilitate continual improvement of patent administration services along the patent granting process.
3.3 Auditing

Product Audit

The EPO’s Directorate Quality Audit (DQA), which is placed under the direct control of the President, audits the compliance of products delivered by patent examiners and patent administration with legal requirements. DQA performs annual audits on European and international search reports and on applications proposed for grant. Furthermore, it audits opposition and refusal decisions bi-annually. Patent administration products and processes are audited based on risks identified in this area. A detailed analysis of approximately 925 search and examination procedures per year takes place. The 2016 results of the quality checks were positive: the objective for compliance in search was exceeded and the objective for classification was met. The audits produced recommendations for improvement that are being addressed by specific actions.

* Product is either a sampled Search Report or a sampled Intention to Grant action
An audit of opposition files was conducted on a limited number of fifty files. The substantive part of the procedure was found to be of very high compliance, with only one of the audited files showing a deficiency. Previous findings in the formal processing of opposition proceedings were addressed in 2016 by a re-design of the opposition procedure that led to improvements in compliance and timeliness (cf. section 4.3).

Audits of the Quality Management System

The EPO’s QMS is audited in a three-year cycle from certification audit (2014) to recertification audit (2017) by an external ISO-accredited certifying authority. Annual surveillance audits are conducted in-between, to check that the QMS is meeting all requirements of the ISO 9001 standard and therefore contributing to continual improvement.

In addition to the external audits, the EPO itself regularly audits the procedures of the QMS to assure its effectiveness. The audits are conducted by staff from different areas trained as ISO 9001 auditors. By conducting internal quality audits, the EPO constantly monitors the QMS to be able to spot any potential deficiency. The findings are recorded and followed up in quality improvement actions.

Resources

The internal QMS auditors have received specific training from auditors of certification bodies on the ISO 9001 standard and on auditing techniques. In addition, dedicated workshops and refresher courses are provided. In 2016 the EPO invested almost 2.5 man-years of capacity in QMS audits to ensure that the procedures are followed in a consistent manner.
The conclusions of the QMS audits are indicative of the effective operation of the EPO’s quality management mechanisms. Besides a high commitment towards the QMS and evidence of best practice throughout the EPO, the audits (both internal and external) produce information that is fed into the annual quality action plans for continual improvement.
All minor and major non-conformities with the standard have been corrected in the time line foreseen in the audit cycle. All observations have been taken into account in the EPO’s annual quality action plans.

### 3.4 Classification quality assurance

The Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC), which is now used by thirteen patent offices worldwide for classifying, including the EPO, USPTO, SIPO and KIPO, and by more than 45 patent offices around the world for search purposes, is an essential tool for the efficient and reliable retrieval of prior art during the search, not only within the EPO but also for many other patent offices and external Espacenet users. CPC symbols are applied to patent applications and other documents by classifiers in the EPO, USPTO and national offices and can be used to retrieve these documents during searches. If symbols are incorrect or missing, the time and effort required to retrieve a relevant document in a search will be increased, and the document may even be overlooked altogether, leading to problems at a later stage in examination. As co-owner of the CPC with the USPTO, the EPO has a system of ISO 9001-based quality checks in place to ensure that CPC classification symbols are applied in a complete, correct and consistent way:

- **Under Operational Quality Control of Classification (Class-OQC),** the classification of around 50,000 classified applications and prior art documents is checked each year by expert classifiers, the results of the checks being used to give feedback to classifiers and steer localised improvement actions where needed.
- **The Classification Audit** is carried out annually on a sample of documents by a team of trained auditors with the aim of establishing an Office-wide benchmark (KPI) for classification quality.
- Additionally, the CPC Quality Assurance programme monitors divergences between classification work done by other offices and that of the EPO through a mixture of expert checks and automated comparisons. The results of these checks are used to reduce divergences in the future.
The graph shows the evolution of the backlog of documents awaiting classification by the EPO together with the compliance of classification according to the classification audit.

**Validity of EP patents**

The EPO closely monitors the percentage of granted patents in relation to patent applications and the percentage of granted patents for which an opposition is filed. The grant rate has been stable in recent years at about 50% (more than 50% of which are granted in amended form). The rate of opposition decreased from 5% in 2014 to 4% in 2015 and 2016.

Patent invalidity in Europe is very low. This is illustrated by the example of Germany, the main validation country for European patents, and one of the main European jurisdictions.

– According to the German Patent and Trade Mark Office (Annual reports 2009-2014), an average of 420,000 patents granted by the EPO were valid each year in Germany between 2009 and 2014. Of these patents, about 40 per year on average were fully invalidated before the German Federal Patent Court during the same period. These invalidated patents represent less than 0.01% of the total number of granted EP patents valid in Germany.

– It is also worth observing that in 80% of infringement cases in Germany the defendant does not even try to challenge validity. Indeed, there are approximately 1200 infringement cases in Germany each year, compared to only 250 nullity cases (Bundespatentgericht Annual Report, 2014). This suggests that in the vast majority of cases the potential infringer does not see any chance of challenging the validity of the patent concerned.
4. Timeliness of procedures

In the world of patents the pace of innovation is fast and developing new technologies can be costly. So one key element of the EPO’s quality and efficiency policy has been to give inventors confidence that they can rely on timely patent grant procedures. Correspondingly, user surveys have shown repeatedly that timeliness is perceived as an important element of high quality.

4.1 Search timeliness

In response to users’ calls for faster procedures, the EPO launched the Early Certainty from Search initiative in 2014. The objective was to complete all incoming searches and accompanying written opinions, for patent applications from any origin, within 6 months of file receipt.

In 2016 the EPO surpassed this target, with searches and written opinions completed within a median value of 5.1 months.

This improvement is reflected in users’ perceptions: user satisfaction with EPO search timeliness has increased over time, with 64% of respondents being satisfied or very satisfied in 2013, and 72% in 2016.

Percentage of international searches on-time

In the last year, the percentage of PCT international applications with the EPO as ISA published as A1 (i.e. together with the search report) has risen from 90.3% in 2015 to 94.9% at the end of 2016.

Reduced processing times in search, examination and opposition provide Early Certainty.
4.2 Examination timeliness

Following the successful implementation of Early Certainty from Search, the EPO extended the Early Certainty concept to examination and opposition in July 2016. For examination, the objective is to progressively reduce the total time for an examination procedure, from receipt of a request for examination to the announcement of the intention to grant a patent under Rule 71(3) EPC, to 12 months on average by 2020. As a first step, median examination pendency has been reduced to 23.3 months.

Figure 19
Timeliness in months under the Early Certainty from Examination program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2015 2016

Time to accelerated examination action

This indicator shows the median time to send a communication or a grant whenever there is a request for accelerated examination (PACE). The PACE time limit for sending a communication or a grant is 3 months; the median time in 2015 was 3.4 months, which was reduced to 3.1 months in 2016 and further decreased to 2.8 months on 1 March 2017. This means that by the end of 2016 half of the PACE files were treated on time. It can be expected that in the near future, as stocks decrease, even more capacity for handling PACE will become available, which will further reduce the median time.

This improvement is reflected in users’ perceptions: in 2016, approximately 60% of respondents declared themselves satisfied or very satisfied with the timeliness of the EPO response for accelerated applications, while in 2015 it was 51%. A further improvement is expected in 2017.

Figure 20
PACE timeliness for sending a communication in examination or a patent grant
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4.3 Duration of opposition procedure

In 2016, 37% of users were satisfied with the overall duration of the EPO’s opposition procedure (24.8 months). To meet users’ needs for a speedy decision, considered particularly important in opposition proceedings, on 1 July 2016 the EPO extended the Early Certainty concept to the opposition procedure and streamlined the procedure within the current legal framework (cf. figure 22).

Figure 21
Duration of the opposition procedure in months
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Streamlining the opposition procedure
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4.4 Patent Information and Post-Grant Activities

This area covers all activities related to the publication of patent information products and services and the management of post-grant information. The correctness of the published patent specification is of high importance for the legal certainty users receive from patents granted at the EPO. The reliability of publication and post-grant services is essential for the EPO’s management of post-grant fees.

The EPO closely monitors the timeliness of these operations to ensure that no delays are encountered in bringing the information to the public.

Timeliness is a legal obligation for patent publication. Every year the EPO monitors more than 300 operations leading to the publication of European patent applications (EP-A), European patent specifications (EP-B) and related events made available via the European Patent Register as well as other patent information products and services.

It also monitors the quality of publication data to ensure that the publications fully reflect the content of patent applications as filed by the applicants and the content of patent specifications as granted. It is also important for the legal certainty of applicants, proprietors and third parties that at the end of the patent procedure the content of the patent application and specification really corresponds to what was filed and granted. The quality measured in the course of 2015 and 2016 was fully in line with expectations and amounted to an average of less than two errors per published EP document. Publications take place on a weekly basis in the form of XML files according to the WIPO ST.36 Standard and PDF-A.

In 2016, more than 250 000 documents were processed as part of the patent information mission of the EPO.

4.5 Customer Services

The EPO’s Customer Services unit is dedicated to improving user interaction and experience and understanding the business logic of users.

A single point of contact has been established to enable a transparent workflow for answering customer queries in a timely and satisfactory manner. In 2016 over 65 000 enquiries, registered as service tickets, were allocated by Customer Desk to the various operational services, and 89% of customer enquiries were resolved within two working days.
Figure 23

Customer service enquiries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calls to customer services answered within 20 sec</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to EPO switchboards answered within 20 sec</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution of customer service enquiries within 2 days (36 working hours) calculated in elapsed working hours</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timeliness of response to telephone enquiries
5. User and stakeholder feedback

5.1 User feedback on quality at the EPO

User feedback is a core element of the EPO’s ISO 9001-certified Quality Management System (QMS). It supports informed decision making and thus contributes to the Office’s efforts to fulfil its mission.

Figure 24
Sources of user feedback assessed by the EPO

Figure 24 shows examples of sources of user feedback collected and analysed by the EPO. Metrics-based feedback is that which can be assessed statistically, non-metrics-based feedback (red boxes) includes oral feedback received for example during meetings.

All user feedback is assessed at the EPO to see what can be improved. Firstly, all available user feedback relating to a particular issue is collected and analysed. This may result in an action, for example to correct a deficiency or to enhance external communication on the topic.
The EPO needs to take full advantage of user feedback and improve its services in line with user requirements. Clear and timely communication with users about changes and reactions to user feedback is also important.

Example: User Satisfaction Survey and Asian prior art

In 2012, while the volume of Asian documentation was steadily increasing, the EPO decided to ask users how satisfied they were with the coverage of documentation in Asian languages by EPO examiners. The results showed that there was significant room for improvement.

Since then, the annual quality action plan has included several actions to improve the EPO’s Asian documentation and tools for searching it. Users have also been informed about our improvements and about the high level of coverage of Asian documents in our search reports.

The first results of the actions taken are promising: the satisfaction level, even in the framework of an unprecedented increase of available Asian documentation, has clearly improved as can be seen from the latest User Satisfaction Survey results. The EPO is keeping an eye on this crucial area: new quality actions have been planned for 2017.
5.2 User satisfaction

The EPO has a long tradition of engaging with users through satisfaction surveys. These surveys cover all core services of the EPO and are conducted by external market research companies to ensure independence and anonymity. The surveys are conducted in the three official languages — English, French and German — and also in Japanese. To arrive at a better understanding of non-European clients’ level of satisfaction and their needs, in 2016 a user survey among Chinese applicants was also conducted by an external company.

The following extensions to the User Satisfaction Surveys are planned:

– From 2018 onwards Chinese will be added as fifth language.
– A pilot user survey among Korean applicants will be conducted in 2017.

Search and examination

The surveys are organised by technical field. All fields are surveyed within a three-year cycle, covering four to five technical fields per year. Telephone interviews are conducted with a representative sample of users of EPO search and examination services. This sample consists of randomly selected applicants (including in-house attorneys) and independent representatives who received a search report and a written opinion from the EPO in the last 12 months. Around 400 to 600 interviews are completed for each technical field, giving a total of almost 7,000 interviews over a period of three years.

Overall user satisfaction with search work remains at a high level (80% of (very) satisfied users in 2016). In addition, there has been improved satisfaction with the Office’s Asian prior art coverage (30% (very) satisfied in 2013, 51% in 2016) and also a decline in search-related complaints. In meetings with users, there have been many positive comments about Early Certainty from Search.
Patent Administration services

To assess users’ satisfaction with patent administration services, online interviews of about 15 minutes are conducted with a representative sample of users of EPO Patent Administration services. The sample consists of users who have contacted the first-line customer service of the EPO in the previous 12 months. Normally, around 1 500-2 000 interviews are conducted.

In 2016 user satisfaction levels with Patent Administration were very high (87% respondents were satisfied or very satisfied). Similarly, users expressed high satisfaction levels with the various aspects of work carried out by PA staff.

Opposition

In 2016 a pilot user satisfaction survey on opposition work was conducted, targeting both companies and patent attorneys. The results showed that over 70% of users are satisfied or very satisfied with opposition work. In particular, over 85% of users consider that, irrespective of the outcome, they feel fairly treated during the opposition procedure. The survey results also highlighted the need to reduce the overall length of the opposition procedure, which is the main objective of the streamlined opposition procedure within the Early Certainty from Opposition initiative as from 1 July 2016 (cf. section 4.3).
5.3 Complaints

Complaints are defined as any feedback (written or oral) about a service or product delivered by the EPO and found by the complainant to be in some way unsatisfactory or below expectations. Complaints are another source of valuable user feedback that enables the EPO to assess how changes can be made to improve quality further. The EPO has recently provided a convenient online tool for registering complaints, providing an easier means to capture complaints and address any problems. Annual reports on complaints are used as input for the review of the QMS.

Applications for legal remedies under the EPC (including oppositions to patents in the sense of Art. 99 EPC and appeals against a decision under Art. 106 EPC) and third-party observations under Art. 115 EPC are not regarded as complaints.

All incoming complaints are registered and treated according to their nature and content. Complainants receive an appropriate, reasoned and timely response to their concerns. The response is drawn up in co-operation with the unit involved. Complaints are followed up until the final response is provided to the complainant.

Any complaint received during inter partes proceedings (i.e. opposition) and related to procedural or substantive matters in a specific file will be available for public file inspection together with all corresponding Office responses. In 2017, this will also apply to all substantive/procedural complaints received for a specific file in examination proceedings. At the same time an informal and confidential feedback mechanism will be introduced. Somewhat more complaints have been registered since the introduction of the registration tool, but the number of complaints remains very low in the light of the fact that the Office produced over 360,000 search and examination products last year. During 2016, 449 formal complaints were received as opposed to 428 in 2015. 37% of formal complaints related to examiner work, 25% to the administrative procedure.
The most common cause of complaints about administrative services were payments and fee-related issues (18%) and notifications of loss of rights (15%). The aspects of search, examination and opposition procedures most complained about were service or product quality (47%), procedural delays (25%) and issues relating to non-unity objections (9%).

In the framework of the annual quality review cycle, targeted actions are being undertaken to address these issues and improve products or services. Based on feedback received from the complainants, the PCT Direct procedure was improved in April 2017. A high number of complaints related to timeliness prompted the EPO to implement the Early Certainty from Search concept. Since then we have observed a decrease in complaints related to timeliness from 21% of all complaints in 2015 to 13% in 2016.
5.4 ESAB recommendations on patent quality

In a May 2012 report, the EPO’s Economic and Scientific Advisory Board formulated a number of key recommendations on patent quality. The preceding chapters of this report show that these recommendations have been addressed:

The Early Certainty initiative directly addresses the recommendation to improve the speed of search, examination and opposition proceedings.

The recommendations aimed at improving the quality of search and examination have been addressed through a variety of means:

- Access to prior art has been enhanced through the EPO’s continuous efforts to develop the world’s largest prior art collection and to make it searchable in digital full-text format for EPO and NPO examiners and for the public via Espacenet. The adoption of the CPC also contributes to this objective.
- The recommendation to improve international co-operation and information sharing among patent offices has been addressed through the signature of PPH agreements with many countries, including the IP5 Offices, Russia, Colombia and Australia. The Global Dossier initiative also addresses this recommendation.
- The recommendation to establish closer information links between the EPO and standards-setting organisations (SSOs) and to improve timeliness, completeness and access to information on standards-essential patents has been addressed through co-operation agreements with several SSOs. These agreements have enabled the EPO to acquire extensive standards document collections.

The recommendations to improve access to patent information have been addressed:

- The EPO has almost 100% coverage of bibliographic, abstract and image data for Chinese, Korean and Japanese patent literature. In addition, EPO examiners also search machine-translated English full text of Chinese, Korean and Japanese patents.
- The Global Dossier initiative of the IP5 Offices simplifies access to important information pertaining to these applications for both users and the public, thereby enhancing the transparency of the patent system.
- Operational Quality Control of the correctness of bibliographic data also contributes to this objective.

5.5 Further sources of user and stakeholder feedback

In addition to user interactions about day-to-day operations the EPO has established a number of fora for user consultation and engagement:

- In January 2017 a standing advisory committee with interested parties from industry and user associations was established, dedicated exclusively to quality-related topics (SACEPO Working Party on Quality). The meeting was attended by a diverse range of participants from industry, the patent profession and user organisations, including patent attorneys from various EPO member states, representatives of the European Patent Institute and Business Europe, as well as user groups from Japan, China, Korea and the United States. A wide range of quality-related topics were discussed and users found the meeting to be very open and informative.
Partnership for Quality meetings with user associations and industry in Europe, Japan, USA, Korea and China are held annually. In 2016, meetings were held in Washington D.C. (AIPLA/US Bar), Munich (epi), Brussels (Business Europe), Beijing and Chengdou (PPAC), Tokyo (JIPA and JPAA) and Seoul (KPAC).

The President of the EPO regularly meets with user associations such as AIPPI, FICPI, JETRO, JPAA, LES or CNCPI.

Company visits to applicants by examiners and experts take place regularly.

Regular online services user days inform users about recent developments in tools.

Trilateral (Europe, US, Japan) and IP5 industry meetings are organised annually.

Key Account Management establishes and maintains relationships with users.

The EPO regularly engages with its member states about operational and quality topics on the Technical and Operational Support Committee (TOSC). A workshop dedicated to quality was held in May 2016.

IAM survey of IP professionals

In 2016, the EPO reconfirmed its position as a global leader in quality: in every annual survey published by the Intellectual Asset Management (IAM) magazine since 2010, the EPO has ranked first among the IP5 offices for the quality of both its patents and its services. This was confirmed in 2016 by all three groups of participants – corporate IP managers, non-practising entity (NPE) executives and private practice lawyers.

Responses to a series of questions put to IAM readers show high levels of satisfaction with the quality of the EPO’s patents. Of those that answered, 87% of NPEs, 94% of private practitioners and 96% of corporate respondents said that the quality of patents issued by the EPO was “excellent”, “very good” or “good”. The continual advances that we are making have also been recognised, with 15% of corporates and 19% of private practices saying that quality has further improved at the EPO over the past year.
5.6 EPO initiatives for continual improvement of service quality

The EPO makes constant efforts to improve its services and the ways in which users and the Office communicate with each other. A lot of thought is given to the information collected from users about the quality of the EPO’s products and services through the various communication channels.

Together with the continual internal monitoring and analysis of our processes this knowledge forms the basis for improvement actions. This section highlights just a few of the ways in which the Office has made continual improvement the core focus of its activities.

- The EPO has revised its free-of-charge PACE programme to accelerate patent applications. The changes streamline the existing scheme, improve responsiveness for critically important applications and better align PACE with the EPO’s workload prioritisation.
- Under PCT Direct, which was initiated in 2014, applicants who have selected the EPO as their international searching authority can link any first filing searched by the EPO with a subsequently filed PCT application. The key feature of such a process is the ability of the applicant to react to objections when filing an international application claiming priority in the form of a reply, the ‘PCT Direct letter’.
- The EPO has signed Patent Prosecution Highway agreements with many countries including the IPS offices. The PPH network currently comprises a total of 13 offices: the IPS Offices (EPO, JPO, KIPO, SIPO, USPTO); CIPO (Canada); ILPO (Israel); IMPI (Mexico); IPOS (Singapore); IPA (Australia); SIC (Colombia); ROSPATENT (Russia). Relevant PPH MoUs have been signed with the Offices of MyIPO (Malaysia) and IPOPHL (The Philippines). These pilot programmes are scheduled to launch during the second half of 2017. These agreements enable the EPO’s applicants to benefit from accelerated processing in many of the world’s most important markets.
- The EPO has launched PATSTAT Online, a new, web-based interface that enables stakeholders to run queries in PATSTAT, the EPO’s statistical database for patents, and to perform statistical analyses. It is aimed at patent information specialists, companies, patent attorneys and academics. PATSTAT Online can create visualisations of results and allows result sets to be downloaded for offline use.
The Global Dossier is an initiative by the IP5 Offices, which have agreed to make available information produced by each office in a family of patent applications. The Global Dossier therefore simplifies access to important information pertaining to these applications for both users and the public, thereby enhancing the transparency of the patent system.

Since 2010, the EPO has signed validation agreements with Moldova, Tunisia, Morocco and Cambodia, thereby increasing the geographical scope of European patents. Negotiations to enter into a validation agreement are currently being held with Laos.
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